
**REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS
INSPECTOR OF RECORD FOR
RFQ MAJ 06-310.IOR (CENTER FOR SCIENCE) AND/OR
RFQ MAJ 08-MJ0043.IOR (RECREATION CENTER EXPANSION)**

Questions and Responses #1

PROJECT MANAGER:

Johan Uyttewaal
Associate Director
Facilities Planning & Capital Projects
juyttewa@calpoly.edu

DATE: January 22, 2009

Submittal Date: **February 5, 2009**
before 3:00 p.m.

As required by the subject Request for Qualifications (RFQ) all questions, interpretations or clarifications of this RFQ must be requested in writing. Questions and requests for clarifications received to date are repeated for all those who have the RFQ followed by the response.

1-1 Question: Will there be a RFQ/RFP for inspections or will the IOR act as the field inspector?

Response: *Yes, there will be an RFQ/RFP for what CSU calls "Special Inspections." The scope of that RFQ/RFP is not yet known, because Cal Poly will first evaluate the Value Special Inspection services (if any) offered by the selected firm(s).*

1-2 Question: Will there be a RFQ/RFP for construction material laboratory testing?

Response: *No, the firm selected for "Special Inspections" will contract directly with material testing labs.*

1-3 Question: Who will provide inspection job trailer?

Response: *The trailer will be provided at the job site by the University directly or through the entity constructing the project. The IOR will have reasonable access to the trailer and there will be a work space/desk for the IOR. The trailer, local LAN access (University LAN) and local phone access for phone/fax will be provided without charge to the IOR, but computer equipment, long distance charges, and other fees described in the RFQ will be the responsibility of the IOR. See, e.g., Sections 1.2 and 1.3 of the RFQ.*

1-4 Question: Are sub-consultants/joint ventures allowed?

Response: *Yes, but doing so does not alleviate the requirement that the "named" submitting firm must have the experience required in the RFQ. That is, if your firm lacks the required experience or prior construction work, but seeks to aggregate its experience and construction work with a sub-consultant or joint venture firm to meet the requirements of the RFQ, this would fail to do so and you would not be qualified to submit a response. The named submitting firm must independently and alone meet all of the requirements of the RFQ without taking into account such sub-consultants/joint ventures. Sub-consultants or joint ventures might be considered by a submitting firm in order to enhance the statement of qualifications, but cannot be used as a method of meeting the requirements of the named submitting firm in the RFQ.*

1-5 Question: Are the plans & specs available to quantify the amount of "Value Special Inspection" required for this project?

Response: *Not at this time. The RFQ asks respondents to identify what types of special inspection services you are licensed and willing to perform. Therefore, you may propose to perform such special inspection services with some delineated cap of time/scope/hours/duration/magnitude/etc. if you desire to do so. It is up to you to describe such cap.*

1-6 Question: Will a testing lab be provided if a Service Provider is selected that does not provide "Value Special Inspection" or provides only a limited amount?

Response: *If your proposal for Value Special Inspection services does not include a testing lab, then you must state this. To the extent that a Special Inspector is utilized, the Special Inspector will contract directly with a testing lab. (see also question 1-2 above).*

1-7 Question: Is a Professional Engineer required to sign off on all "Value Special Inspection" (spoils, concrete, etc...) final reports?

Response: *Yes. The respondent must have all licenses and experience necessary to perform and provide Value Special Inspection services which the respondent proposes, and this includes appropriate supervision, certification, and approvals, such as the PE seal and signature.*

1-8 Question: On page 6 of the RFQ, Section 1.2.A states, in part:

"Credentials for the Inspectors of Record must be appropriate for the Project and must be one or more of the following: OSHPD (Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development), DSA (Division of the State Architect), Other (City-trained or County-trained and certified or equivalent certifications, or inspector must be a California licensed architect or California registered professional engineer. Credentials for the Inspector of Record are to be furnished by the **Service Provider** and a copy shall be maintained in the files for the Projects."

The Inspector we plan to submit is certified by both the Council of American Building Officials and the International Code Council as a Certified Building Inspector. Does this qualify under the "other" as equivalent certifications?

Response: *Yes. The credentials that you have described indicate that such an individual would hold the necessary qualifications to perform work as inspector of record for the subject projects. The University requires the inspector of record for each project to legally meet all requirements to serve as an inspector of record. This provision of the RFQ addresses the need for "appropriate" credentials. Therefore, all respondents submitting a Statement of Qualifications must assure that the proposed inspector of record indeed meets all legal requirements.*

###